

Discussion of van den Heuvel (2018) The Welfare Effects of Bank Liquidity and Capital Requirements

Pascal Paul

Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco¹

¹The views expressed herein are solely mine and do not necessarily reflect the ones of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco or the Federal Reserve System.

Research Question

What are the welfare effects of liquidity and capital requirements?

→ Key idea: Derive simple formulas from a model that can be matched to the data

→ No need to take a stand on preferences, but use asset returns instead

Research Question

What are the welfare effects of liquidity and capital requirements?

→ **Key idea: Derive simple formulas from a model that can be matched to the data**

→ No need to take a stand on preferences, but use asset returns instead

Research Question

What are the welfare effects of liquidity and capital requirements?

→ **Key idea: Derive simple formulas from a model that can be matched to the data**

→ **No need to take a stand on preferences, but use asset returns instead**

Model & Frictions

- Banking model without aggregate risk
- Banks can choose riskiness of loan portfolio
 - Choose σ_t in $R_t^L + \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ where $\text{mean}(\epsilon_t) < 0$
 - Potentially excessive due to deposit insurance and limited liability
- Banks face occasional withdrawals from depositors
 - Bank fails due to liquidity stress if $B < wD$
 - Occurs with fixed probability $1 - p$

→ Model suggests a division of labor:
capital requirements deal with excessive credit risk
and liquidity requirements address liquidity risk

Model & Frictions

- Banking model without aggregate risk
- Banks can choose riskiness of loan portfolio
 - Choose σ_t in $R_t^L + \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ where $\text{mean}(\epsilon_t) < 0$
 - Potentially excessive due to deposit insurance and limited liability
- Banks face occasional withdrawals from depositors
 - Bank fails due to liquidity stress if $B < wD$
 - Occurs with fixed probability $1 - p$

→ Model suggests a division of labor:
capital requirements deal with excessive credit risk
and liquidity requirements address liquidity risk

Model & Frictions

- Banking model without aggregate risk
- Banks can choose riskiness of loan portfolio
 - Choose σ_t in $R_t^L + \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ where $\text{mean}(\epsilon_t) < 0$
 - Potentially excessive due to deposit insurance and limited liability
- Banks face occasional withdrawals from depositors
 - Bank fails due to liquidity stress if $B < wD$
 - Occurs with fixed probability $1 - p$

→ Model suggests a division of labor:
capital requirements deal with excessive credit risk
and liquidity requirements address liquidity risk

Model & Frictions

- Banking model without aggregate risk
- Banks can choose riskiness of loan portfolio
 - Choose σ_t in $R_t^L + \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ where $\text{mean}(\epsilon_t) < 0$
 - Potentially excessive due to deposit insurance and limited liability
- Banks face occasional withdrawals from depositors
 - Bank fails due to liquidity stress if $B < wD$
 - Occurs with fixed probability $1 - p$

→ **Model suggests a division of labor:
capital requirements deal with excessive credit risk
and liquidity requirements address liquidity risk**

Social Planner Problem

$$V_0(\theta) = \max_{\{c_t, d_t, b_t, L_t, K_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, d_t, b_t)$$

s.t. $\bar{B} - b_t \geq \lambda d_t, (1 - \gamma)L_t + \bar{B} - b_t \geq d_t, K_t \geq L_t$
resource constraint

for $\sigma=0, \lambda \geq w$!

Social Planner Problem

$$V_0(\theta) = \max_{\{c_t, d_t, b_t, L_t, K_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, d_t, b_t)$$

s.t. $\bar{B} - b_t \geq \lambda d_t, (1 - \gamma)L_t + \bar{B} - b_t \geq d_t, K_t \geq L_t$
resource constraint

for $\sigma=0, \lambda \geq w$!

Simple Formulas

- Gross welfare cost of liquidity requirement

$$v_{LIQ} = \frac{d}{c} (R^D + g_D(d, L) - R^B) (1 - \lambda)^{-1}$$

- Gross welfare cost of capital requirement

$$v_{CAP} = \frac{L}{c} \left(R^E - \tilde{R}^D(\lambda) - (1 - \lambda)^{-1} g_D(d, L) \right)$$

→ Key result: Liquidity requirement less costly

Simple Formulas

- Gross welfare cost of liquidity requirement

$$v_{LIQ} = \frac{d}{c} (R^D + g_D(d, L) - R^B) (1 - \lambda)^{-1}$$

- Gross welfare cost of capital requirement

$$v_{CAP} = \frac{L}{c} \left(R^E - \tilde{R}^D(\lambda) - (1 - \lambda)^{-1} g_D(d, L) \right)$$

→ **Key result: Liquidity requirement less costly**

Comments

Interpretation Main Exercise

- What are the **welfare costs** of an additional unit of required capital or liquidity?
 - ... in states without excessive credit risk-taking ...
 - ... and without liquidity stress
- The exercise does not tell us:
 - How does an additional unit of required capital or liquidity affect
 - ... **the probability and the severity of financial crises?**
 - ... **overall welfare?**

Interpretation Main Exercise

- What are the **welfare costs** of an additional unit of required capital or liquidity?
 - ... in states without excessive credit risk-taking ...
 - ... and without liquidity stress
- The exercise does not tell us:
 - How does an additional unit of required capital or liquidity affect
 - ... **the probability and the severity of financial crises?**
 - ... **overall welfare?**

Crises in the Model \neq Crises in the Data

- Crises occur out of ...
 - credit booms (Schularick and Taylor, 2012)
 - asset price booms (Jordà et al., 2015; Kiley, 2018)
 - worsening of current account (Kiley, 2018)
 - low productivity growth (Gorton and Ordoñez, 2016; Paul, 2018a)
 - rising income inequality (Kirschenmann et al., 2016; Paul, 2018a)
- Model of crises should replicate empirical evidence (Boissay et al., 2016; Gorton and Ordoñez, 2014; Paul, 2018b)

Crises in the Model \neq Crises in the Data

- Crises occur out of ...
 - credit booms (Schularick and Taylor, 2012)
 - asset price booms (Jordà et al., 2015; Kiley, 2018)
 - worsening of current account (Kiley, 2018)
 - low productivity growth (Gorton and Ordoñez, 2016; Paul, 2018a)
 - rising income inequality (Kirschenmann et al., 2016; Paul, 2018a)
- Model of crises should replicate empirical evidence (Boissay et al., 2016; Gorton and Ordoñez, 2014; Paul, 2018b)

Other Comments

- ① Issue of risk-weights
- ② Extreme assumption on illiquidity of loans
- ③ Historical asset returns depend on ...
 - ① ... institutional setting (e.g., money market funds)
 - ② ... economic conditions (e.g., monetary policy cycle)

Other Comments

- ① Issue of risk-weights
- ② Extreme assumption on illiquidity of loans
- ③ Historical asset returns depend on ...
 - ① ... institutional setting (e.g., money market funds)
 - ② ... economic conditions (e.g., monetary policy cycle)

Other Comments

- ① Issue of risk-weights
- ② Extreme assumption on illiquidity of loans
- ③ Historical asset returns depend on ...
 - ① ... institutional setting (e.g., money market funds)
 - ② ... economic conditions (e.g., monetary policy cycle)

References

- Boissay, F., F. Collard, and F. Smets (2016). Booms and banking crises. *Journal of Political Economy* 124(2), 489–538.
- Gorton, G. and G. Ordoñez (2014). Collateral crises. *American Economic Review* 104(2), 343–78.
- Gorton, G. and G. Ordoñez (2016). Good booms, bad booms. *NBER Working Papers* (22008).
- Jordà, O., M. Schularick, and A. Taylor (2015). Leveraged bubbles. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 76(S), S1–S20.
- Kiley, M. (2018). What macroeconomic conditions lead financial crises. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System* (038).
- Kirschenmann, K., T. Malinen, and H. Nyberg (2016). The risk of financial crises: Is there a role for income inequality? *Journal of International Money and Finance* 68, 161 – 180.
- Paul, P. (2018a). Historical patterns of inequality and productivity around financial crises. *Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Working Paper 2017-23*.
- Paul, P. (2018b). A macroeconomic model with occasional financial crises. *Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Working Paper 2017-22*.
- Schularick, M. and A. M. Taylor (2012). Credit booms gone bust: Monetary policy, leverage cycles, and financial crises, 1870–2008. *American Economic Review* 102(2), 1029–1061.