Discussion of Gupta, Sapriza, Yankov (2023) "The Collateral Channel and Bank Credit"

Pascal Paul

June 2023 WFA Conference

Current Debate

- > Question: How important is the collateral channel in amplifying business cycle shocks?
- Chaney et al. (2012): plausibly exogoneous differences in firm real estate values causally affect firm investment → evidence of collateral channel
- Lian & Ma (2021): let's look at the type constraints firms actually face
 - Larger public mostly face earnings-based covenants
 - Collateral constraints based on real estate values are rare
- Rampini & Viswanathan (2022): observed constraints not informative about mechanisms
 - > Even if firms don't pledge collateral, lenders can still obtain assets in bankcruptcy
 - So higher real estate values enable more borrowing even with unsecured debt
- This paper:
 - Let's broaden the sample by using newly available data for private firms
 - Directly estimate the effect for firms that actually pledge collateral

Current Debate

- > Question: How important is the collateral channel in amplifying business cycle shocks?
- Chaney et al. (2012): plausibly exogoneous differences in firm real estate values causally affect firm investment → evidence of collateral channel
- Lian & Ma (2021): let's look at the type constraints firms actually face
 - Larger public mostly face earnings-based covenants
 - Collateral constraints based on real estate values are rare
- Rampini & Viswanathan (2022): observed constraints not informative about mechanisms
 - Even if firms don't pledge collateral, lenders can still obtain assets in bankcruptcy
 - So higher real estate values enable more borrowing even with unsecured debt

This paper:

- Let's broaden the sample by using newly available data for private firms
- Directly estimate the effect for firms that actually pledge collateral

Main Regression

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_{f,b,m,t} = &\theta_{\mathsf{o}} I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \theta_{\mathsf{1}} \mathsf{P}_{m,t} \times I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) \\ &+ \Theta' I(\mathsf{Non} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \Gamma' X_{f,t-1} + \phi_f + \gamma \alpha_{f,t} + \psi_{b,m,t} + \epsilon_{f,b,m,t} \end{aligned}$$

- ► y_{f,b,m,t}: credit, capex, ...
- ► $I(Pledge RE_{f,b,m,t})$ indicator for firms pledging collateral
- $\triangleright \alpha_{f,t}$ are firm-time demand factors obtained with Amiti & Weinstein (2018) method
- ▶ IV for $P_{m,t}$: follow Chaney et al. (2012) & use Saiz (2010) elasticity × mortgage rates
- ▶ θ_1 : effect of higher real estate prices on borrowing & investment for firms that actually pledge collateral \rightarrow Result: $\theta_1 > 0$, sizeable, and significant for credit & investment

Comments & Suggestions

Comment I: Regression Interpretation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_{f,b,m,t} = &\theta_{\mathsf{o}} I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \theta_{\mathsf{1}} \mathsf{P}_{m,t} \times I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) \\ &+ \Theta' I(\mathsf{Non} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \Gamma' X_{f,t-1} + \phi_{f} + \gamma \alpha_{f,t} + \psi_{b,m,t} + \epsilon_{f,b,m,t} \end{aligned}$$

- Let's go back to argument by Rampini & Viswanathan (2022)
- Collateral channel active even for firms that don't pledge collateral
- So θ_1 shows us that effects are stronger for firms that do pledge
- BUT: doesn't cover collateral channel for firms that don't pledge real estate !
- As an aside: regression by Chaney et al. (2012) covers secured and unsecured debt

Comment II: Regression Setup

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_{f,b,m,t} = &\theta_{\mathsf{o}} \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \theta_{\mathsf{1}} \mathsf{P}_{m,t} \times \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) \\ &+ \Theta' \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{Non} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \Gamma' X_{f,t-1} + \phi_f + \gamma \alpha_{f,t} + \psi_{b,m,t} + \epsilon_{f,b,m,t} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Ivo Welch (2022) criticizes regression setup by Chaney et al. (2012)
- He suggests to use a change-on-change regression
- Related: Why not use reported market value of collateral from Y14 data?
- My suggested regression:

$$\Delta credit_{f,b,m,t} = \theta \cdot \frac{\Delta P_{m,t}}{P_{m,t}} \cdot \frac{\text{Market Value-RE}_{f,b,m,t}}{credit_{f,b,m,t}} + \dots$$

Comment III: Regression Setup

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_{f,b,m,t} = &\theta_{\mathsf{o}} I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \theta_{\mathsf{1}} \mathsf{P}_{m,t} \times I(\mathsf{Pledge} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) \\ &+ \Theta' I(\mathsf{Non} - \mathsf{RE}_{f,b,m,t}) + \Gamma' X_{f,t-1} + \phi_f + \gamma \alpha_{f,t} + \psi_{b,m,t} + \epsilon_{f,b,m,t} \end{aligned}$$

- $\triangleright \alpha_{f,t}$ are firm-time demand factors obtained with Amiti & Weinstein (2018) method
- Appendix: based on groups within same geographic location, 2-digit NAICs industry code, investment-grade status, and high or low bank-dependence
- Issue: credit demand is very firm-specific, potentially biases estimates
- Suggestion: Why not use Khwaja-Mian (2008) approach and use firm-time FE?
- Restricts sample to firms borrowing from multiple banks, but tightens identification

Comment IV: Aggregation

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{m,t} = & \theta_{\mathbf{o}}^{m} \text{Share real estate}_{m,t-1} + \theta_{\mathbf{1}}^{m} \mathbf{P}_{m,t-1} \times \text{Share real estate}_{m,t-1} \\ & + \Theta^{m'} \text{Share non-real estate}_{m,t-1} + \gamma_{\beta}^{m} \psi_{m,t} + \gamma_{\alpha}^{m} \alpha_{m,t} + \mu_{m} + \tau_{t} + \epsilon_{m,t}^{m} \end{aligned}$

- Earlier point about Rampini & Viswanathan (2022) carries over to the regional level
- Are $\psi_{m,t}$ supply factors from Amiti & Weinstein (2018) method? why control for supply?
- Credit multiplier seems large to me (7 times):
 - ► Kocherlakota (2000): amplification from credit constraints small
 - Small firms that pledge collateral not that important for aggregates
- Future work: Use estimates to calibrate macro-model & gauge aggregate importance

Summary

Nice paper !

- New micro data allows for insights on current collateral channel debate
- Some suggestions:
 - 1. Clarify regression interpretation
 - 2. Use market value of collateral from Y14
 - 3. Consider change-on-change regression
 - 4. Consider Khwaja-Mian (2008) approach
 - 5. More evidence on aggregation

Summary

- Nice paper !
- New micro data allows for insights on current collateral channel debate
- Some suggestions:
 - 1. Clarify regression interpretation
 - 2. Use market value of collateral from Y14
 - 3. Consider change-on-change regression
 - 4. Consider Khwaja-Mian (2008) approach
 - 5. More evidence on aggregation